LANDYE BENNETT BLUMSTEIN LLP

David S. Case, P.C., Alaska Bar No. 7505010 Landye Bennett Blumstein LLP

202 周7 -年 開 3: 26

701 West 8th Avenue, Suite 1200

Anchorage, AK 99501

Telephone: (907) 276-5152 Facsimile: (907) 276-8433

Attorneys for Northwest Arctic Borough

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ALASKA AT ANCHORAGE

) Case No. A02-231 CV (JWS) KIVALINA RELOCATION PLANNING COMMITTEE, Plaintiff, ) v. ) DEFENDANT-INTERVENOR\_NORTHWEST TECK COMINCO ALASKA ) ARCTIC BOROUGH'S MOTION FOR "NCORPORATED, ) LEAVE TO INTERVENE AND Defendant. ) SUPPORTING MEMORANDUM OF LAW

Defendant-Intervenor Northwest Arctic Borough ("NAB") hereby moves this Court for leave to intervene as a defendant in this action. NAB makes this request pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 24, which allows permissive intervention when the intervenor-applicant's claims and the main action involve common questions of law or fact. The memorandum in support filed with this motion demonstrates that the defenses asserted

- 1 -

refendant-Intervenor NAB's Motion for Leave to Intervene and Supporting Memorandum of Law Case No. A02-231 CV (JWS)

oy NAB are aligned with those asserted by defendant in this action. In addition, NAB is entitled to intervene as a matter of right pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure because:

- (1) It and its citizens have significant protectable interests relating to the legal and factual issues that are the subject of this action;
- (2) They are so situated that the disposition of this action may, as a practical matter, impair or impede their ability to protect those interests; and
- (3) Their interests are not adequately represented by the existing parties.

In support of this motion, NAB relies upon the following "Memorandum of Law" and such other and further documentary or cral evidence as may be presented before or at any hearing of this motion. Assuming that the Court grants this motion, NAB will participate in briefing of such motions that may be rending before the Court without undue delay in any existing briefing schedule. NAB has conferred with both defendant and plaintiff. Defendant does not oppose NAB's intervention but the plaintiff will.

- 2 -

Defendant-Intervenor NAB's Motion for Leave to Intervene and Supporting Memorandum of Law Case No. A02-231 CV (JWS) Echibit H
Page 2 of 25

### MEMORANDUM OF LAW

Defendant-Intervenor Northwest Arctic Borough is the nomerule, regional government for the Northwest Arctic megion of Alaska in which the Red Dog Mine and the associated Delong Mountain Transportation System ("DMTS") are the primary sources of borough revenue. Mine deposit is owned by the NANA Regional Native Corporation, and the mine is operated by Teck Cominco Alaska, Inc. ("TCAK"). Plaintiff has requested that the Court assess penalties totaling almost \$60 million, together vith attorney fees, and to require TCAK to comply with the current National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System "NPDES") permits. The interests of NAB and its citizens can only be protected if it is allowed to intervene and participate in this litigation and in any accompanying settlement discussions. NAB is therefore entitled to intervene as a matter of right in this lawsuit to protect the interests of its citizens. Alternatively, even if mandatory intervention were not available, NAB should be allowed permissive intervention.

- 3

#### ARGUMENT

## I. NAB is Entitled to Intervene as a Matter of Right.

Intervention as of right under the Fed.R.Civ.P. 24(a)(2) requires that the intervenor-applicant satisfy the requirements of a four-part test:

- (1) The intervenor-applicant's motion must be timely;
- (2) The intervenor-applicant must claim a "significantly protectable" interest relating to the property or transaction that is the subject of this action;
- (3) The intervenor-applicant must be so situated that the disposition of the action may, as a practical matter, impair or impede its ability to protect that interest; and
- (4) The intervenor-applicant's interest must be inadequately represented by the parties to the action.

Sterra Club v. EPA, 995 F.2d 1478 (9th Cir. 1993).

The Ninth Circuit has consistently held that Fed.R.Civ.P. 24(a)(2) should be construed broadly in favor of intervenor-applicants for intervention. See, e.g., U.S. v. Oregon, 839 F.2d 635, 637 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1988); Washington

- 4 -

Defendant-Intervenor NAB's Motion for Leave to Intervene and Supporting Memorandum of Law Case No. A02-231 CV (JWS) Page 4 of 25

State Bldg. & Constr. Trades Council v. Spellman, 684 F.2d 527, 630 (9th Cir. 1982), cent. denied, 461 U.S. 913 (1983). Although Fed.R.Civ.P. 24(a)(2) does not require that an applicant for intervention have a specific legal or equitable interest in the proceeding; NAB does have a specific protected legal interest in both the NPDES permit held by the defendant and in the property upon which the mine is located and to which it discharges its pollutants. Hee County of Fresno v. Andrus, 622 F.2d 436, 438 (9th Cir. 1980). NAB therefore has a specific interest in the disposition of this lawsuit, and it should be permitted to intervene as a matter of right.

#### NAB's Motion is Timely. **Z**1. +

This case has recently been filed. A court considering timeliness must consider all of the circumstances of the particular case, construing the facts broadly in favor of Westlands Water Dist. v. U.S., the intervenor-applicant. 700 F.2d 561, 563 (9th Cir. 1983). There are three relevant factors to timeliness:

The stage of the proceedings; (1)

- (2) The prejudice to the existing parties from any delay by the applicant in seeking leave to intervene; and
- (3) Any reason for the length of delay in seeking intervention.

Tlaniz v. Tillie Lewis Foods, 572 F.2d 657 (9th Cir. 1978), cert. denied, 439 U.S. 837 (1978).

Here, there has been no delay on the part of NAB in seeking intervention and no prejudice to the existing parties. The timeliness requirement has therefore been satisfied.

P. NAB Has a Significantly Protectable Interest in the Subject of this Litigation.

In order to show an interest in the property or transaction at issue in the litigation, the intervenor-applicant must demonstrate a "significantly protectable interest." *Portland Audubon Soc. v. Hodel*, 866 F.2d 302, 308 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1989), cert. denied, 492 U.S. 911 (1989).

NAB has a direct and continuing interest in the operation of the Red Dog Mine by the defendant. Operation of the Red Dog Mine and the associated DMTS are the primary sources of revenue for the borough and any restrictions,

· 6 --

Exhibit H
Page 6 of 25

Defendant-Intervenor NAB's Motion for Leave to Intervene and Supporting Memorandum of Law Case No. A02-231 CV (JWS) FAX (907) 276-8433 Telephone (907) 276reduction, or adverse effect on mine operations will have a severe, adverse financial effect on the borough itself. described in the Affidavit of NAB's mayor, the borough's PILOT Agreement with TCAK is the principal source of the porough's revenue, currently amounting to \$5.5 million per See Affidavit of Roswell L. Schaeffer, Jr., attached as Ex. "A", pp. 2-3. In reliance on the PILOT Agreement revenue, NAB has issued \$46 million in general obligation bonds for school construction and plans to issue an addition of \$24 million in bonds in 2003. Id. at p. 3,  $\P$  9. The lawsuit threatens NAB's credit on existing bonds and may prevent it from issuing future bonds. Id. at p. 3, ¶ 10.

In addition, NAB has a direct and continuing interest in the use of lands adjacent to water quality segments ("WQS") affected by this lawsuit. NAB has an obligation to protect the borough's environment, subsistence resources, and to promote sustainable economic development for the To protect its overall benefit of all borough citizens. environment and promote economic development, the borough has adopted a comprehensive land use regulation ordinance as "Title 9" of the borough's code. Id. at p. 3, ¶ 11. terms and conditions of the defendant's NPDES permit, as

well as the ability to renew or modify that permit, will be affected by the outcome of this litigation. The terms for renewal or modification of the NPDES permit as determined by this litigation could be inconsistent with Title 9 of NAB's code. It is under these circumstances, when a lawsuit directly affects permits or the use of real property, that an intervenor's interests are "squarely in the class of interests traditionally protected by law." Sierra Club, supra, 995 F.2d at 1483.

For these reasons, NAB and its citizens have a significant interest in the effective and responsible resolution of this case, either by negotiated settlement or judicial proceeding. Consequently, parties such as NAB that will be directly affected by the disposition of the litigation should be allowed the opportunity to protect their interests as a matter of right. NAB's significant interests can only be addressed through its intervention as a party.

NAB Intervention Will Protect NAB and Its Citizens' Interests.

In the absence of intervention, NAB and its citizens' interests will be adversely affected by any disposition of

Defendant-Intervenor NAB's Motion for Leave to Intervene and Supporting Memorandum of Law Case No. A02-231 CV (JWS)

this case. See Sierra Club, supra, 995 F.2d at 1486. practical matter, NAB's interests would be impaired by the cisposition of this case because the continued operation of the mine in the borough and the continued use of the property is specifically contingent upon a workable resolution of this litigation and plaintiff's claims for relief. NAB seeks to assure that the outcome of this Froceeding assumes a process that will result in an effective NPDES permit based on a clear understanding of legal obligations under the Clean Water Act and the horough's practical, financial situation and its regulatory It is therefore required that this litigation regime. include significantly affected parties such as NAB that will be directly affected by its disposition.

The Existing Parties Will Not Adequately Represent the Interests of NAB.

An intervenor is adequately represented under Fed.R.Civ.P. 24(a)(2) only if:

> The interests of a present party to the litigation are such that the party will undoubtedly make all of the potential intervenor's arguments;

25

- (2) The present party is capable of and willing to make the argument, and
- (3) The intervenor would not offer any necessary element to the proceedings that other parties would neglect.

Nee, e.g., County of Fresno, supra, 622 F.2d at 438. Here, NAB's interests are not adequately represented.

The requirement of the Rule is satisfied if the applicant shows that representation of his interest 'may be' inadequate; and the burden of making that showing should be treated as minimal.

legal Aid Soc. v Dunlop, 618 F.2d 48, 50 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1980), quoting Trbovich v. United Mine Workers, 404 U.S. 528, 538-39, n. 10 (1972); see also Forest Conservation Council v. U.S. Forest Service, 66 F.3d 1489, 1498 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1995).

While the defendant TCAK has an obvious interest in limiting the assessment of penalties, it does not completely share NAB's interests, which are much broader and more comprehensive. The borough, through its planning department, for example, is responsible for issuing permits to the defendant relating to mine and DMTS operation and the protection of the physical environment and habitat within the borough. See Schaffer Aff., Ex. "A", pp. 4-5, ¶ 15-18.

Echibit H
Figs 10 of 25

- 10 -

Design dentant-Intervenor NAB's Motion for Leave to Intervene and Supporting Memorandum of Law Case No. A02-231 CV (JWS)

Thus, it can aid the court in the full development of the factual issues relating to the environmental consequences of discharge from the mine. See New York Public Interest Fesearch Group, Inc. v. Regents of University of State of New York, 516 F.2d 350, 352 (2nd Cir. 1975); General Motors Corp. v. Burns, 50 F.R.D. 401 (D. Haw. 1970). Nor does TCAK necessarily have identical interests with NAB when it comes to balancing lawful regulation to protect the interests of the citizens of the borough in a clean and healthy environment with the need for a stable revenue base to fund needed services for the borough's citizens. See Schaffer Aff., Ex. "A", pp. 4-5,  $\P\P$  14-19. Plaintiff does not adequately represent NAB's interests either. Id. at  $\P\P$  16 and 19.

> In short, NAB's interests are not, and will not be, adequately represented absent intervention. The current parties to this lawsuit are unlikely to address the interests of the borough and its citizens in the resolution of this case. NAB's intervention is necessary to ensure that all stakeholders can be heard in the settlement or 1.tigation of policy matters that will affect NAB's and Alaska's long-term environmental and economic health. Id.

> > - 11 -

Page II

at pp. 5-6, ¶¶ 19, 20, and 22; NAB Resolution 02-50 and "Statement Accompanying Res. 02-50", also attached as Exhibit A.

#### If Intervention of Right Under Fed.R.Civ.P. 24(a)(2) is II. Denied, Permissive Intervention Under Fed.R.Civ.P. 24(b)(2) Should be Granted to NAB

Under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, permissive intervention is applicable when an intervenor-applicant's lefense and the main action have a common question of law or fact. Fed.R.Civ.P. 24(b)(2). In exercising its discretion, a court should consider whether the intervention unduly delays or prejudices the adjudication of the rights of the Id. Judicial economy is one original parties. consideration that the court should consider when determining whether the intervention would cause undue delay or prejudice to the original parties. See Venegas v. Skaggs, 867 F.2d 527, 531 (9th Cir. 1989), aff'd sub nom., Venegas v. Mitchell, 495 U.S. 82 (1990). However, a court should allow intervention where the intervenor will 'significantly contribute to the full development of the underlying factual issues in the suit and to the just and equitable adjudication of the legal questions presented."

See Spangler v. Pasadena City Bd: of Education, 552 F.2d 1326, 1329 (9th Cir. 1977).

NAB meets the standards for permissive intervention. As set out above, intervention by NAB would not in any way delay the disposition of this case, would not prejudice any of the original parties, and would conserve judicial resources. NAB's interests are not adequately represented by any of the parties to this lawsuit. In fact, allowing rermissive intervention will enhance the scope of knowledge, skill, and resources available to the court in resolving this case. NAB and its Assembly have broad practical and policy experience working with the issues raised in this lawsuit. See Schaeffer Affidavit, Ex. A, p. 5, ¶ 18, Fesolution 02-50 and "Statement Accompanying Res. 02-05".

It would be judicially expedient and fiscally conservative to allow NAB to intervene in this matter in crder to more effectively craft a solution to the complex factual, legal, and policy determinations that will be required. Certainly, it is more efficient to permit public interest stakeholders, such as the borough, to participate in litigation or settlement of this action rather than to risk subsequent challenges to any settlement under state and

Defendant-Intervenor NAB's Motion for Leave to Intervene and Supporting Memorandum of Law Case No. A02-231 CV (JWS)

federal administrative procedures or due to inconsistencies with the borough's local regulatory regime.

#### CONCLUSION

NAB has shown a direct, substantial, and compelling : nterest in the subject of this litigation. NAB has also demonstrated the likelihood of substantial impairment of it and its citizens' rights to protect those interests if intervention is denied. Accordingly, NAB should be granted intervention as of right. Alternatively, the Court should allow NAB to intervene permissively.

DATED this 2nd day of November, 2002.

LANDYE BENNETT BLUMSTEIN, LLP Attorneys for Northwest Arctic Borough

David S. Case, P.C.

Alaska Bar No. 7505010

On November  $\underline{\mathcal{H}}$ , 2002, a true and correct copy of the foregoing Defendant-Intervenor Northwest Arctic Borough's Motion for Leave to Intervene and Supporting Memorandum of Law was sent by mail hand-delivery to the following:

Luke W. Cole Center on Race, Poverty & the Environment 450 Geary Street, Suite 500 San Francisco, CA 94102

Thomas A. Dosik haw Office of Thomas A. Dosik 431 West 7th Avenue, Suite 204 Inchorage, AK 99501

lawrence L. Hartig Martig Rhodes Hoge & Lekisch '17 K Street Anchorage, AK 99501

25

TELEPHONE (907) 276-5152, FAX (907) 276-8433

```
Ravid S. Case, P.C., Alaska Bar No. 7505010
Landye Bennett Blumstein LLP
701 West 8th Avenue, Suite 1200
Anchorage, AK 99501
             (907) 276-5152
Telephone:
             (907) 276-8433
Facsimile:
Attorneys for Northwest Arctic Borough
             IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
           FOR THE DISTRICT OF ALASKA AT ANCHORAGE
                                   Case No. A02-231 CV (JWS)
KIVALINA RELOCATION PLANNING
 C DMMITTEE,
                      Plaintiff, )
                                          AFFIDAVIT OF
                                  ) ROSWELL L. SCHAEFFER, SR.
 TECK COMINCO ALASKA
 INCORPORATED,
                       Defendant.)
 STATE OF ALASKA
 THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT . )
       ROSWELL L. SCHAEFFER, SR., being first duly sworn upon
```

oath, deposes and says as follows:

I am the duly elected mayor of the Northwest Arctic Borough, an Alaskan, municipal, home-rule government, whose houndaries generally coincide with the regional boundaries

Affidavit of Roswell L. Schaeffer, Sr. Case No. A02-231 CV (JWS)

اما العدد

25

for the NANA Regional Corporation ("NANA") as established under the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act.

- I am also a former president of NANA.
- 3. NANA is the owner of the Red Dog Mine ore deposit, the largest known lead and zinc deposit in the world, which is also located within the borders of the Northwest Arctic Borough.
- 4. The mine was developed and is operated under an greement between NANA and Teck Cominco Alaska, Inc. ("TCAK"), the defendant in the above-referenced lawsuit.
- 5. The borough does not levy any taxes within its borders, but prior to the commencement of the development of the Red Dog Mine, it entered into an agreement with TCAK for payments in lieu of taxes ("PILOT Agreement"), which is the principal source of the borough's revenue.
- 6. Under the terms of the PILOT Agreement, as amended, the borough is currently entitled to payments of \$5.5 million per year increasing at regular rates through the year 2011 to \$6.3 million per year.
- 7. In reliance on the annual revenue paid under the PILOT Agreement, the borough has issued in excess of \$46 million in bonds to fund the capital construction of schools

Page 17 of 25

- 2 -

Affidavit of Roswell L. Schaeffer, Sr. Case No. A02-231 CV (JWS)

PAGE 2 OF 10

and school-related improvements for the Northwest Arctic

- 8. The bonds were issued in each of the last three years (2000, 2001 and 2002) through the Alaska Municipal Bond Bank, a separate institution incorporated by the State of Alaska.
- 9. All of the borough bonds have maturities of 20 years and secure a loan agreement with the Alaska Municipal Bond Bank. The borough currently plans to issue up to mother \$24 million in bonds in 2003, also to be secured by payments derived from the production of ore at the Red Dog Mine.
- 10. The above-referenced lawsuit potentially threatens the credit of the borough's existing bonds and may prohibit the borough from issuing future bonds.
- 11. The borough also has adopted a comprehensive land use regulation ordinance known as "Title 9" of the borough code.
- 12. Among other things, Title 9 regulates development within the "Resource Development" and "Transportation" Districts that comprise the Red Dog Mine and the related DeLong Mountain Transportation System ("DMTS") whereby the

0 18 of 25

Affidavit of Roswell L. Schaeffer, Sr. Cuse No. A02-231 CV (JWS) PAGE 3 OF 10

ore from the mine is transported to a port site on the coast of the Chukchi Sea.

- 13. The above-referenced lawsuit also has the potential of interfering with or requiring results inconsistent with the borough's Title 9 land use regulations.
- 14. NAB and its citizens have a direct economic, policy, and environmental interest in the ability of the nine to continue to operate and to operate profitably and productively, and this lawsuit threatens that ability.
- 15. NAB and its citizens have a direct, economic, policy and environmental interest in the National Pollution Elimination Discharge Permit System "NPDES" permit that lies at the heart of this lawsuit because, without that permit, the mine can no longer operate.
- policy and environmental interest in ensuring that the water quality standards applied to the Red Dog Mine are hased on the best, available, site-specific science and ensuring that the claims asserted by the plaintiff for violations of those standards are not unsubstantiated claims based upon faulty or inadequate science.

Exhibit H
Page 19 of 25

4 --

Affidavit of Roswell L. Schaeffer, Sr. Case No. A02-231 CV (JWS)

PAGE 4 OF 10

- 17. NAB also has a direct economic, policy and environmental interest in ensuring that the Red Dog Mine operates in a sound, environmentally safe manner and that its operations do not threaten the safety and health of our citizens, our water or our natural resources.
- NAB, through the borough's planning department, issues and enforces permits for operations at the Red Dog mine and within the DMTS.
- NAB has an interest that is unique from the other parties to this litigation, because neither of them have any duty or accountability to the other citizens of the NAB.
- For the foregoing reasons, NAB has a substantial interest in being involved in any litigation or negotiated settlement that addresses the relief sought by the plaintiff in this lawsuit
- On September 24, the Northwest Arctic Borough 21. acting under the authority of its home-rule charter, adopted Resolution 02-50, authorizing the borough to intervene in the above-referenced litigation on such terms as the mayor, in consultation with the assembly president, deems appropriate. A copy of Resolution 02-50

- 20 of 25

Affidavit of Roswell L. Schaeffer, Sr. Case No. A02-231 CV (JWS)

and the assembly president's statement accompanying it are attached to this affidavit as Exhibit A, and incorporated ly reference.

22. As provided in the resolution, I have consulted with the assembly president and determined that it is for the borough intervene to appropriate litigation as a party defendant in order to be able to adequately protect the borough's economic, policy and environmental interests.

Further your affiant saith naught.

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me this October, 2002.

Notary Public in and for

My Commission Expires:

Affidavit of Roswell L. Schaeffer, Sr. Case No. A02-231 CV (JWS)

## Certificate of Service

On October 4, 2002, a true and correct copy of the foregoing AFFIDAVIT OF ROSWELL L. SCHAEFFER, SR. was sent by mail hand-delivery to the following:

Luke W. Cole Center on Race, Poverty & the Environment 450 Geary Street, Suite 500 San Francisco, CA 94102

Thomas A. Dosik Law Office of Thomas A. Dosik 411 West 7<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Suite 204 Anchorage, AK 99501

Lawrence L. Hartig Hartig Rhodes Hoge & Lekisch 7.7 K Street Anchorage, AK 99501

Sherry Griffin

2012 22 of 25

Affidavit of Roswell L. Schaeffer, Sr. Case No. A02-231 CV (JWS)

EXHIBIT A
PAGE 7 OF LO



A RESOLUTION OF THE NORTHWEST ARCTIC BOROUGH ASSEMBLY TO AUTHORIZE INTERVENTION IN LITIGATION AND FOR RELATED PURPOSES.

WHEREAS: the Northwest Arctic Borough ("NAB") is the homerule regional government for the Northwest Arctic region of Alaska with area wide powers, including but not limited to, powers of planning, land use regulation, transportation, taxation and education; and

the Red Dog Mine and the associated Delong Mountain WHEREAS: Transportation System ("DMTS") are the primary sources of borough revenue; and

WHEREAS: the Red Dog Mine deposit is owned by the NANA Regional Alaska Native Corporation and the mine is operated by Teck Cominco Alaska, Inc. ("TCAK"); and

a group known as the Kivalina Relocation Planning Committee ("KRPC") has recently filed a lawsuit against TCAK ("KRPC Suit"), alleging violations of the federal Clean Water Act arising out of the Red Dog Mine and DMTS operations; and

NAB has an obligation to protect the borough's environment, subsistence resources and to promote sustainable economic development for the overall benefit of all borough citizens; and

WHEREAS: the KRPC Suit has serious implications for NAB's environmental, economic development and related concerns.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE NORTHWEST ARCTIC BOROUGH ASSEMBLY AS FOLLOWS:

The Northwest Arctic Borough Mayor, in consultation with the Assembly President and such legal and other advice as deemed necessary, is authorized to intervene in the KRPC Suit for and on behalf of the Northwest Arctic Borough and its citizens on such terms and at such time as the Mayor in consultation with the Assembly President determine in their discretion to be appropriate.

EXHIBIT

NORTHWEST ARCTIC BOROUGH
PEROX TITTOM OF 50 PAGE TWO
PASSED AND ADOPTED THIS 94 DAY OF Appleable 2002.
The state of the state of 2002
PASSED AND ADOPTED THIS OF DAY OF 2002.
halfor Michael
Walter G. Sampson, Assembly President
all the last a some
SIGNED AND APPROVED THIS 24 DAY OF September 2002.
11 pour Celle
Roswell L. Schaeffer, Sr., Mayor
Roswon 21 Standard O
ATTESTED TO THIS TO DAY OF September 2002.
Helena Geldatt
Helena Hildreth, Borough Clerk ATTEST:

24 of 25

EXHIBIT A

PAGE 9 OF 10

# STATEMENT ACCOMPANYING RES. 02-50

WE IN THE NORTHWEST ARCTIC BOROUGH HAVE A LONG HISTORY OF WORKING COOPERATIVELY WITH BOTH OUR ENVIRONMENT AND OUR NEIGHBORS. THIS IS THE STRATEGY THAT HAS ENABLED US AND OUR ANCESTORS TO THRIVE IN THE SUBSISTENCE WAY OF LIFE IN OUR HARSH, ARCTIC ENVIRONMENT FOR 10,000 YEARS. WE HAVE USED THIS SAME STRATEGY TO DEVELOP ANOTHER ECONOMY BASED ON OTHER FORMS OF SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT. WE ARE NOW CONFRONTED BY A DISPUTE BETWEEN TWO OF OUR NEIGHBORS ABOUT OUR ENVIRONMENT THAT HAS THE POTENTIAL TO AFFECT OUR ECONOMY. AS THE REGIONAL GOVERNMENT FOR ALL THE CITIZENS OF THE NORTHWEST ARCTIC, WE MUST TAKE THE APPROPRIATE STEPS NOT ONLY TO PRESERVE OUR TRADITIONAL WAY OF LIFE, BUT ALSO TO ASSURE A SUSTAINABLE ECONOMIC FUTURE FOR OUR CITIZENS. WE HAVE THEREFORE ADOPTED RESOLUTION 02-50 TO ENABLE THE BOROUGH TO PLAY A CONSTRUCTIVE ROLE IN THE RESOLUTION OF THIS DISPUTE.

25 of 25

EXHIBIT A PAGE 10 OF 10